



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

RSA-2230-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.11.2025

Rakesh Kumar

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, no one appeared on behalf of the appellant. Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel.

2. As per office report, notice issued to appellant has been received back served and counsel for the appellant has been informed about the date fixed.

2. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant despite service. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

10.11.2025

Sahil

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)**JUDGE***Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*