IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

299

CRM-M-21062-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 20.11.2025

BALAK RAM

…...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

…...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Sahil Loura, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

****

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.979 dated 06.12.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420 of IPC at Police Station Yamunanagar City District Yamunanagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Sushil Kumar.

3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been

Sonia Puri
2025.12.02 11:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another***, ***2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another,***

Sonia Puri
2025.12.02 11:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.979 dated 06.12.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420 of IPC at Police Station Yamunanagar City District Yamunanagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost, to be deposited with Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Panchkula, in account No.11901238123 IFSC Code:SBIN00004172, State Bank of India, Sector-14, Panchkula.

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 20.12.2025, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

20.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO

Sonia Puri
2025.12.02 11:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document