


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:016687



**CWP No. 17910 of 2008 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 05.02.2025**

**Madhu Kumar**

**....Petitioner**

VS.

**State of Haryana and others**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr.D.S.Patwalia, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Armaan Dahiya, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider him for the advertised post.

2. The respondent vide order dated 29.07.2008 rejected claim of the petitioner for the post of Sub Inspector under Sports quota. From the perusal of reply, it is evident that there were two conditions for the advertised post i.e. Graduation and certificates of participation & outstanding sports achievements. The petitioner did not disclose certificates alongwith the application form.

3. The claim of petitioner was rejected in 2008. A period of 17 years from the date of rejection of claim has already passed away. He was



claiming post of Sub Inspector which requires physical and mental fitness. The respondent might have selected other candidates pursuant to the advertisement in question. The respondent No. 5 joined service and, at this belated stage, her service cannot be disturbed keeping in mind a five Judge bench judgment of Supreme Court in **Sivanandan C.T. and others vs. High Court of Kerala and others, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 994**.

- 4. In view of the above, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere, at this belated stage.
- 5. Dismissed.
- 6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

05.02.2025  
paramjit

|                            |     |    |
|----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |    |
| Whether reportable:        |     | No |