


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:081449



COCN No. 1918 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.07.2025

TSL Electropower Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

versus

Sushil Sangwan and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present : Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Shourya Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate, for the respondents.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance and willful disobedience of the order dated 05.11.2024 passed by a Division Bench of this Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 31202 of 2019 where relevant directions were to the following extent: -

“Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above and especially order dated 10.08.20107 in CWP No.9859 of 2010, we find the stand taken by respondent to be totally unjustified. Accordingly, impugned orders/communications are set aside. It is directed that authorities shall consider the case of petitioner in accordance with settled position as has been noted above within a period of four weeks and amount as found due should be released within next four weeks thereafter. The authorities would also take into consideration decision dated 12.10.2017 in CWP No.22514 of 2014 as well as decision of Hon’ble Supreme Court in ‘Hamdard Laboratories Vs. Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corpn., (2017) 2 SCC 536’ while taking final decision on the matter.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has not filed any reply but has stated that on the last occasion i.e. 21.05.2025, it was his submission that an amount of Rs.37,38,785/- has been credited in the bank account of the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner in response submits that details of the said amount have not been provided to him.
4. This Court disposes of this petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to furnish details of various heads under which the amount of Rs. 37,38,785/- had been worked out to enable the petitioner to assess as to whether the amount received is correct or not?
5. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revisit the Court in case the amount so paid by the respondents is found to be deficient.

No costs.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

08.07.2025

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√