

2025:PHHC:103026



CRM-M-22051 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22051 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision:08.08.2025

Ayeesha Khan

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Anoop Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepam Raghav, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

CRM-22974-2025

The application for placing on record the original affidavit of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 29.04.2025 as Annexure P-6 is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions. The same is taken on record.

CRM-M-22051-2025

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.447 dated 24.09.2019 under Sections 406, 120-B, 452 IPC (Sections 34, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC removed later on) registered at Police Station Sector 50, District Gurugram, Haryana and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2025:PHHC:103026



CRM-M-22051 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

Vide order dated 29.04.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 29.04.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 29.04.2025 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram and as per the report dated 11.06.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No.447 dated 24.09.2019 under Sections 406, 120-B, 452 IPC (Sections 34, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC removed later on) registered at

2025:PHHC:103026



CRM-M-22051 of 2025 (O & M)

::3::

Police Station Sector 50, District Gurugram, Haryana and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 08, 2025
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No