



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

798

CWP-19614-2004 (O & M)
Date of decision: 24.04.2025

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits.
2. Learned State counsel refers to para 11 of written statement by way of affidavit of General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Ferozepur, dated 24.02.2025, to which there is no rebuttal by filing replication, which reads thus:

“11. That para number 11 of the civil writ petition is wrong and hence denied. The petitioner has already been granted all the retiral benefits. The pension of the petitioner is fixed as rupees 1414/-. The gratuity amounting to rupees 70889/- and provident fund amounting to rupees 150733/- has already been granted to the petitioner. The petitioner is not entitled to the proficiency step up increment as his service record was not good as explained in para number 3 of the written statement. The impugned order dated 3rd of September 2004 (i.e. annexure P-7) is quite legal and valid.”

3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to represent to the respondents in case any claim still subsists.

24.04.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No