



256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20900-2025

Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

Anil Kumar alias Minni

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ajay Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Gourav Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. On the oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the offence under Section 110 of BNS is ordered to be added in the head note as well as the prayer clause of the main petition. The Registry of this Court is directed to carry out the necessary correction in this regard.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0472 dated 12.07.2024 registered under Sections 110, 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(2), 190, 351 (3) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, both the sides had suffered injuries and it is a case of version and cross version. He further contends that four persons on the side of the petitioner were seriously injured in the occurrence. Moreover, all the parties are closely related to each other and the question of aggressor is yet to be decided by the trial Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the orders

(Annexures P-3 to P-6) passed by this Court, whereby, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Paras @ Babbu, Sanjay Kumar, Asha and Arun Kumar have been granted the concession of bail. Thus, the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.11.2024 and is in custody for the last about 05 months.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that one more case of similar nature has been registered against the petitioner, but he is admittedly on bail in the said case.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.11.2024 and is stated to be custody for the last about 05 months. The injured had already been discharged in the present case and challan has been presented against the petitioner. However, the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness so far. The trial is not likely to conclude in near future. Moreover, other co-accused, namely, Paras @ Babbu, Sanjay Kumar, Asha and Arun Kumar have already been admitted to bail by this Court, vide orders (Annexures P-3 to P-6).

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

28.04.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No