

2025:PHHC:123079



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

(1) CRM-M-23072-2024

SHIVANI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

(2) CRM-M-27742-2024

RAVINDER SINGH @ RAVI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

Date of decision: September 09, 2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-23072-2024).

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-27742-2024).

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab
with ASI Bharat Bhushan.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petitions have been filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.45, dated 17.03.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel and Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Division A, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar (Annexure P-1).
2. As the instant petitions pertain to the same FIR, both of them are being decided vide this common judgment.
3. Vide order dated 09.05.2024 passed in CRM-M-23072-2024 and order dated 29.05.2024 in CRM-M-27742-2024 passed by a Coordinate



Bench of this Court, the petitioners had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submit that the petitioners have complied with the order dated 09.05.2024 and 29.05.2024 respectively, and joined investigation.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, also does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. Although it has been submitted that the petitioners have previously also been involved in similar offences of cheating, however, as per the learned counsel for the petitioners, the matter stands amicably settled in all those previously instituted cases against the petitioners.

6. *Qua* the present FIR also, it has been submitted that the matter stands amicably settled between the parties. Learned State counsel, however, on instructions, has feigned ignorance about the same. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation, much less for their custodial interrogation.

7. In view of the above, the instant petitions are disposed of, and interim orders dated 09.05.2024 and 29.05.2024 respectively are made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

8. Copy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

September 09, 2025

Jaspreet Kaur

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*