



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CRWP No.3924 of 2025
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

PALAK AND ANOTHER**.... Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. Ajay Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 and to take action on their representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 17.04.2025 before the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa and S.H.O. Police Station Sadar, Sirsa (Annexure P-5) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

**CRWP No.3924 of 2025**

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

22.04.2025

Jyoti-IV

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No