



217 (4 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

DECIDED ON: 19.09.2025

CWP-11734-2020 (O&M)

RAKESH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-11764-2020 (O&M)

PRAMJEET

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-11786-2020 (O&M)

PARDEEP

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-11799-2020 (O&M)

PAWAN YADAV

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. R.K.Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ankur Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Vide this Common order this Court shall dispose of above said nine writ petitions as common questions of facts and law are involved therein.

For the sake of convenience, the facts are being taken from **CWP-11734-2020**.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the impugned order dated 04.08.2020 whereby the services of the petitioner was ordered to be terminated and the petitioner be granted all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the State informs the Court that the State is not inclined to dispense with the services of the petitioners considering the length of the service rendered by them, though this decision of State is a one time measure and also in consonance with the judgement dated 06.08.2012 passed by this Court in CWP-13384-2011 titled as '*Garima Jindal vs. Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. and anr.*', judgment dated 09.11.2012 passed by this Court in LPA-1775-2012 titled as '*Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. and anr. vs. Garima Jindal*' and the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.(s). 1493/2013 titled as '*Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. vs. Garima Jindal and anr.*'

In view of the above submission made by learned counsel for the State as well as the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in Garima Jindal's case (supra), the petitions are hereby allowed and the impugned order dated 04.08.2020 is hereby set aside.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file(s) of connected case(s).

19.09.2025
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*