



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(209)

CWP No. 12388 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 18.01.2025

Sukhbir Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate with
Mr. Ashish Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Ram Pal Kohle, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties agreed that the impugned order dated 28.02.2022 (Annexure P-18) will not be executed by the respondents passed in favour of respondent No. 4 under Section 23 of The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as '2007 Act') in any manner so as to get the property in question back in view of the claim of the private respondents that the said property is their ownership.

2. Learned counsel for the private respondents submits that there were certain agreements qua the said property between the parties and the private respondents will avail appropriate remedy before the Civil Court qua



the redressal of their grievances over the said property by treating it to be a civil dispute between the property.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above understanding between the parties and the statement of the private respondents that the impugned order passed under the 2007 Act qua the property will not be executed and they will avail appropriate remedy before the Civil Court qua the said property, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 18, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No