



CWP-3949-2007 and connected case

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

530-4

Date of Decision:29.08.2025

1. CWP-3949-2007

BRAHAM PARKASH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

2. CWP-18539-2006

SUKHPAL

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Tapan Yadav, Advocate with
Mr. Ashutosh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner (*in CWP-3949-2007*)

Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner (*in CWP-18539-2006*)

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from **CWP-3949-2007**.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated



CWP-3949-2007 and connected case

-2-

19.10.2006 whereby DGP recalled order dated 07.11.2003 passed by his predecessor with respect to adverse remarks recorded in the ACR. He is further seeking setting aside of show cause notice dated 16.02.2007 whereby he was called upon to show cause as to why he should not be reverted to the rank of Head Constable.

3. A Division Bench of this Court while issuing notice of motion ordered to maintain *status quo*. The petitioners have retired on attaining the age of superannuation. On account of interim orders of this Court, they continued to hold their rank.

4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioners have already retired and they continued to hold higher rank in view of interim orders of this Court, I find it appropriate to make interim orders absolute.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.08.2025

Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No