

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20754-2025
Date of decision: 15.05.2025

KAMLESH DEVI....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Renu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Reply in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ Kurukshetra, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
106	19.02.2025	406, 420, 120-B of IPC	Krishna Gate, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 22.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 22.04.2025.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jugal Kishore, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



5. During the course of hearing on 22.04.2025, following order was passed:

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is a widow lady aged about 46 years and has been falsely implicated in this case by converting the alleged dispute which at the most attract a civil remedy into a criminal one. He contends that the bare perusal of the FIR would reveal that the alleged agreement is not executed with the petitioner but is stated to be executed by her sons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that no specific overt act is attributed against the petitioner which could attract any criminal intent on her part. He further contends that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and hence, seeks concession of anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, Asstt. A.G. Haryana., present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the Staterespondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter. However, he has not disputed the fact that as per the allegations in the FIR the alleged agreement is not executed by the petitioner but states that an amount of Rs.5 lakhs was deposited in the account of the petitioner.

6. Let reply be filed on or before 15.05.2025

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of her arrest, she is ordered to be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. ”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 22.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail

granted vide order dated 22.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.05.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |