

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-4040-2025(O&M)
Decided on: 30.04.2025

Aarti and another.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Chajju Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Balram Singh, Addl. P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that **they are living in a live-in relationship** and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:

Petitioner No.	Name & parantage	Age
1.	Aarti c/o Rajesh	23 years
2.	Sagar s/o Tajudin	23 years

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance to the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 24.04.2025 amended memo of parties has already been filed. Copy of the amended memo of parties is handed over to learned counsel for respondent/U.T. in the Court today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and none of petitioners is already married, therefore, having good understanding, they are living in a live-in relationship

**CRWP-4040-2025(O&M)****2**

against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 18.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), to respondent No.2 – The Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Mr. Balram Singh, Addl. P.P., U.T. Chandigarh, submits that the statements of private respondents No. 4 and 5 have already been recorded and as per the statements, none of the private respondents have any objection to the relation of both the petitioners.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into the representation dated 18.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

30.04.2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**