



111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22901-2024**Date of decision :11.11.2025****Dinesh Jangra @ Fouji****....Petitioner****versus****State of Haryana****.....Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Parvinder Moar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.39 dated 19.05.2020, under Sections 302, 186, 332, 353, 147, 148, 353, 120-B of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station G.R.P, Hisar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had been granted interim bail by this Court vide order dated 20.02.2025. He submits that the interim bail was granted by this Court after the completion of the incarceration period of 4 ½ years. He submits that after having been granted the interim bail, the petitioner has never misused the concession of bail. He submits that now almost all the material witnesses already stand examined and thus, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the interim bail granted to the petitioner deserves to be made absolute.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel though has opposed the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner on the merits of the



case, however, he has submitted that there is nothing on the record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail granted to him as there is no complaint *qua* the same. He, on instructions, has submitted that out of total 34 prosecution witnesses, 32 witnesses have already been examined.

4. In view of the above, this Court finds that there being no adverse record concluding that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail, thus, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 20.02.2025 is made absolute to his already furnished surety/bail bonds before the trial Court and the same shall be treated as alive till the final disposal of the trial. The petitioner is directed to keep on appearing before the trial Court as and when required to do so and also to comply with the direction of the trial Court given by it during the pendency of the trial. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.11.2025
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No