



**300 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2808-2024

Date of Decision: 17.11.2025

ROHIT TULI

...Petitioner

Versus

HARMINDER SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the petitioner .

Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Nitesh Singhi, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J.(Oral)

1. As per the report of the Registry, notice could not be issued to respondent No.4, as correct address was not furnished.
2. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

17.11.2025
himanshu

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No