



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22087-2025
DECIDED ON: 29.04.2025**

JOGINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Harjot Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 528 BNSS 2023 for quashing of the impugned order dated 29.09.2014 passed by the Id. SDJM, Khadoor Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran (Annexure P-3) in Complaint No. titled as 133/2010/26.05.2010 Amarjit Singh Versus Gulzar Singh and others U/s 420, 506, 384, 120-B IPC P.S. Verowal, Distt. Tarn Taran vide which the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender.

In the instant case, the petitioner time and again failed to put in appearance despite issuing of summons, bailable/non-bailable warrants thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner though at the outset without contesting the order dated 29.09.2014 (Annexure P-3) on merits undertakes to join the trial proceedings within ten days.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State and not averse to the undertaking given on behalf of the petitioner.

Without addressing the merits of the case or the legality of the order, as the same has not been contested by the learned counsel for the petitioner, who has voluntarily agreed to join the proceedings before the trial court and to pay a penalty of Rs. 25,000/- to the Punjab & Haryana High Court Bar Association for causing unwarranted delay in the trial proceedings, the order dated 29.09.2014 (Annexure P-3) is hereby set aside.

However, the aforesaid order would be subject to fulfillment of undertaking given before this Court on behalf of the petitioner that he will surrender before the trial Court. In case, if any application seeking bail is moved, the same be considered by the Court below preferably on that very day in accordance with law.

The amount so deposited by the petitioner shall not be construed as cost for this order but penalty for stalling the court proceedings by evading himself from trial for a long time.

The petition stands disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

29.04.2025

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>