



**450 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17097-2004 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2025**

Daya Nand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 27.10.2004 whereby he was reverted to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector (ASI) from the post of Sub Inspector (SI).

2. The petitioner was promoted as SI w.e.f. 01.07.2001. The Inspector General of Police (IGP) recorded adverse remarks in his ACR for the period from April' 2001 to March' 2002. On account of adverse remarks, he was called upon to show cause as to why he should not be reverted. He was reverted by impugned order because his promotion was yet to be confirmed.

3. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner was subsequently promoted on 16.05.2007. He retired on 31.01.2010 on attaining the age of superannuation.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that with the efflux of time, petitioner has lost his interest to

pursue the instant petition.

5. *Dismissed* with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application within six months from today, if cause survives.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

27.11.2025

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No