


2025:PHHC:C52026-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10844-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2025**

Rajpal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate,
For Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR SINGH (ORAL)

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioners have sought issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to provide alternative *rasta*/passage to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have already made a representation/application dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) to the competent authorities for redressal of her grievances, but no action has been taken thereon till date. He further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, if the respondent authorities are directed to deal with his concerns/grievances and pass appropriate order on the said representation.

3. Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, appears on behalf of the respondents and submits that the said representation/application shall be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law.

4. Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 (competent authority), to look into the pending representation/application dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy/production of this order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

Ajay Prasher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No