111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3847-2025
Date of Decision: 24.04.2025

Palik and another ..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Suresh Saran, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 for protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.5 to 7 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 7. They apprehend danger from respondents No.5 to 7 Their marriage certificate as well as marriage photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 15.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) to Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a

SHARMILA DEVI
2025.04.24 19:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment
PHHC

direction to respondent no.3- the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar to decide the representation (in case the petitioners file any representation before him within a period of seven days from today) and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SHARMILA DEVI
2025.04.24 19:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment
PHHC