

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3869-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Surjeet Rani and another ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing official respondents to protect life and liberty of petitioners at the hand of private respondents and to decide representation dated 10.04.2025 (P-3).

- (2) Learned State counsel has produced copies of statement dated 20.04.2025 made by Angrej Kumar and Vidya, which is taken on record as mark ‘X’. Copies supplied to other side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.
- (3) After going through the aforesaid statements, learned counsel, on instructions from petitioners, does not press the present petition any further.
- (4) Ordered accordingly.
- (5) Needless to say that if an occasion so arises, petitioners would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy available under law.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.04.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No