



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-20775-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

Imran

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Nafees Ahmed Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 07 dated 05.01.2024, under Sections 13(3), 17 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 (for short 'the Act, 2015') read with Section 511 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') [Section 420, 411, 483, 201 of IPC added lateron] and Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty of Animals Act, 1960, registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh.

2. Allegations are that petitioner alongwith co-accused attempted in slaughtering the cows in the area of Village Meoli.



3. Contends that although there is no interim relief granted to the petitioner but notice regarding interim relief was issued on 23.04.2025 and despite that, petitioner has joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from Head Constable-Dharmender.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

7. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner is granted pre-arrest bail subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

8. It is clarified that in case petitioner is required for further investigation, he be issued appropriate notice by the Investigating Officer in accordance with law and shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Agency.

9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

10. It is also clarified that in case, there is either misuse of concession or non-cooperation on the part of the petitioner, State would



be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

28.04.2025

Harish Kumar

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No