



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20739-2025

Date of Decision: 29.04.2025

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate
for the respondent No.2/complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in a case FIR No. 110, dated 26.02.2021, under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Krishna Gate, Thanesar, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier the petitioner was granted the concession of bail by this Court, however, vide order dated 27.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court, this Court had cancelled the concession of anticipatory bail granted to the present petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner had approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by way of SLP (Criminal) No.15492-2024 titled as "*Ravinder Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and Anr.*" and the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had passed the

following order:-

“Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Vide order dated 14.11.2024, the arrest of the petitioner was stayed, subject to his paying a sum of Rs.10 lacs to the complainant within one week, as per petitioner’s own stand before the High Court.

The petitioner, however, has failed to do so despite two weeks’ more time was granted to him vide order dated 20.12.2024, except that he has deposited Rs.2.50 lacs.

In such circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to apply afresh before the Sessions Court after four weeks, provided that the petitioner, meanwhile, deposits the agreed amount of Rs.10 lacs.

The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforementioned”.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after passing of the order dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-7) by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, the petitioner had paid a sum of Rs.10 lacs to the complainant in the present case, as an agreed amount. However, the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra had failed to appreciate that the petitioner had complied with the order (Annexure P-7) passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. He further contends that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation in the present case.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not complied with the earlier interim orders passed by this Court and the present petition is liable to be dismissed by this Court. However, both the counsel are not in a

position to controvert the stand taken by the petitioner that he has deposited the agreed amount of Rs.10 lacs, in compliance of the order dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully in the present case.
6. In view of the submissions made by both the sides, the present petition is allowed. It is apparent that the petitioner has already paid an amount of Rs.10 lacs to the complainant, as per his undertaking given before the Hon’ble Supreme Court. Still further, the case is based on documentary evidence and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required.
7. Thus, without commenting any further, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

29.04.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No