



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3827-2025

Date of Decision:- 17.04.2025

Kavita and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Kapil Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Kavita and Rahul have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents, who are family members of petitioner No. 1 and to take appropriate legal action on representation dated 15.04.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 21.04.2004. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 17.03.2004. They had performed marriage on 15.04.2025. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Jind, Haryana, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members of petitioner No. 1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 6.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On advance notice, Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No. 1 to 3. It is pointed out that Investigating Agency has already recorded statements of private respondents No. 4 to 6 who have given their no objection to marriage of petitioners.
5. In view of above, at this stage, learned counsel for petitioners states that he wishes to withdraw present petition as same has rendered infructuous.
6. In view of above, present criminal writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, having rendered infructuous. However, in case there is any apprehension or threat perception, then petitioners may approach official respondents.
7. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

17.04.2025

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No