



**250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21497-2019

Date of decision: 03.02.2025

SURINDER BHANDARI

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF U. T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Addl. P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Through this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to take action on complaint dated 24.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) in terms of Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. and for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. On 10.05.2019, the following order was passed :

“Through this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to take action on complaint dated 24.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) in terms of Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. and for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

Learned counsel inter alia contends that petitioner was impersonated at the time of execution and registration of documents. Neither the petitioner signed any document, nor she ever appeared before the Sub Registrar. Her signatures have been forged by the beneficiaries.

Notice of motion for 31.07.2019.

On the asking of Court, Ms. Ashima Mor, APP for UT Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and seeks time to file status report.

Let requisite number of copies of paper-book be supplied to learned APP for UT Chandigarh, during the course of the day.”



CRM-M-21497-2019

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FSL report clearly indicates that the documents in question have been forged and the signatures of the petitioner are not there and the Forensic Science Laboratory report is already in possession of the jurisdictional police authorities. He further submits that the person, who is occupying the house that has been transferred in his name on the basis of forged and fabricated documents, is influencing the investigation and jurisdictional police authorities are acting under his influence and are trying to circumvent the investigation to give him clean chit.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for UT Chandigarh submits that petitioner made a statement on 30.06.2021, stating therein that she does not want to pursue the case against her grandsons. He further contends that in case, the petitioner co-operates with the investigating agency by giving her statement, it would investigate into the complaint made by the petitioner strictly in accordance with law.

5. In view of the specific stand taken by respective counsels, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the investigating agency within a period of two weeks for the purpose of recording her statement and the investigating officer shall investigate into her complaint against all involved persons and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

February 03, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No