



CRWP-3859-2025

-1-

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3859-2025

Date of Decision: 21.04.2025

Satnam Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents and their other companions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 10.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. Ms. Simran, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to decide

the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

21.04.2025			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No