

**245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-3788-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.04.2025**

SABIA BANO AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Narinder Kumar Vedhehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus thereby directing the official respondents No. 1 to 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their peaceful married life at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 7.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 7 and they claim themselves to be major and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. They have placed on record marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) to substantiate their claim. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated nil (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken so far.

In compliance of order dated 16.04.2025, short reply dated 26.04.2025 has been filed in the Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply a copy of complete paper book to the counsel appearing for the State during the course

of the day.

Without commenting on the validity of the marriage allegedly performed by the petitioners and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and grant them protection, if there is any threat to their life and liberty in accordance with law.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any committed by them.

28.04.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>