



- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-3785-2025
Date of decision: 17.04.2025**

SURBHI SHARMA AND ANR

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present : Mr. Prince Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL. J.(Oral)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 7 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel assures the Court that necessary action will be taken on the representation dated 12.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s), within a period of three days from today, in the light of notification No.HOMEHM-40 40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch).

However, in case the aforesaid representation has not been received in the office of SSP Mohali, then the present petition be treated as representation



on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, without advertng to the merits of the case the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the instant petition in case the assurance is not strictly adhered to.

17.04.2025
amandeep

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No