



**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.3750 of 2025

Date of decision: 17.04.2025

Dipti and Another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum, Advocate (Through VC)
for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The respondent Nos.4 to 9 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Panipat seeking his help, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Panipat to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents Nos.1 to 3 only.



4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Panipat to look into the aforesaid representation *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

17th April, 2025
Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes / No</i>