



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-20533-2025

Date of Decision:-23.07.2025

Dheerendra Nirmalkar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- None for the Petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the petition under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0017 dated 12.02.2024 registered under Sections 420, 406 IPC and Section 66D of IT Act registered at P.S. City, Dhuri, District Sangrur.

On 16.04.2025 the following order was passed:-

“ Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.17 dated 12.2.2024, under Sections 420 and 406 of IPC and Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia, contends that the bank account of the petitioner has been misused by the co-accused & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.



Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Adjourned to 8.5.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 21.4.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

Thereafter on 08.05.2025⁴ the following order was passed:-

“ Case called. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner nor any request for a pass over has been made.

In the interest of justice, the hearing is adjourned to 16.05.2025.”

The matter was adjourned to 23.07.2025 and has now come up for hearing today.

The Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is not sought to be arrayed as an accused.

In view of the submissions made, the present petition is rendered as infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, if at a later stage, the petitioner is sought to be arrayed as an accused, he is at liberty to avail remedies available to him in accordance with law.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 23, 2025
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>