



113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3731-2025

Date of Decision: **16.04.2025**

Kirti Chauhan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directing to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned State counsel assures the Court that necessary action would be taken on the representation dated 10.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s), within a period of three days from today. He also undertakes to convey such instructions telephonically to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar.

3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, counsel for the petitioners does not wish to press the petition any further at this stage.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

5. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach the Court

seeking revival of the instant petition, in case, the aforesaid assurance given by the learned State counsel is not adhered to and in that eventuality the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar shall also remain present in the Court, who shall also be liable for contempt of Court.

16.04.2025
Parveen kumar

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No