



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

**CRM-M-21851-2025
Date of decision: 08.05.2025**

RACHHPAL SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Ms. J.K. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.62 dated 22.08.2023, under Sections 304, 34 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. On 25.04.2025, following order was passed:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.62 dated 22.08.2023, under Sections 304, 34 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that though after completion of investigation, petitioner has not been challaned holding him innocent, and because of the registration of FIR, wherein, his name has been mentioned, he is unable to get the passport issued from the Passport Authority.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 08.05.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.”



3. Status report dated 07.05.2025 by way of an affidavit of Damanbir singh PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dhuri, District Sangrur has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record.
4. Para No.7 of the said status report mentions that on the basis of reports and with the approval of SSP Sangrur, it has been concluded vide DDR No.25 dated 26.05.2024, that no material was found against Rachhpal Singh (petitioner herein) and a supplementary report under Section 173 (8) Cr.P.C has already been submitted in the Court of learned JMIC, Dhuri on 06.05.2025.
5. In view of declaring of the petitioner as innocent and recording of the DDR and submission of the supplementary report before the Court concerned, this Court does not find any substantial reason to continue with the proceedings in the present case. Accordingly, therebeing no cause surviving in favour of the petitioner for issuance of direction, petition is accordingly disposed of.

08.05.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No