

2025:PHHC:081743



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

330

CRM-M-22866-2025

Date of decision: July 09, 2025

PARMINDER SINGH @ TINKU AND OTHERS

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. R.S. Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for quashing of FIR No.0144, dated 22.05.2023, under Sections 307, 341, 294, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act (Section 307 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act deleted lateron), registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District Mohali (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.06.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 30.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 29.05.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dera Bassi, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified



and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed photocopies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

July 09, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No