



240

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22300-2025

Date of Decision:08.07.2025

JODH RAJ ALIAS JODHA ALIAS YODH LAL ...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.35 dated 11.03.2025, registered under Sections 109, 132, 221, 121(1), 324(4), 326(g), 191(3), 190, 351(3), 62 of BNS, 2023, Police Station Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the story projected by the prosecution in the present case is highly improbable and unbelievable. It is unimaginable that the petitioner and his wife had beaten up 25 police officials, who had raided the house of the petitioner. He further contends that even from the MLRs of four police officials (Annexures R-1 to R-4), it is apparent that the medico legal reports have been manipulated by the police officials, in collusion with the doctors of CHC Singhowal. All the injuries suffered by four police officials are complaints of pain. He further contends that



in fact the petitioner is a victim of false implication at the hands of Punjab police and was wrongly arrested by the police on 11.03.2025.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in four cases and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He has also filed status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspour on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, it is apparent that all the injuries allegedly suffered by the police officials are complaints of pain and injured have already been discharged from the hospital. Moreover, the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 11.03.2025. Even the investigation in the present case is complete and challan has already been presented against the petitioner. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

08.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No