



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221-3

CWP-12856-2022

Date of decision: 02.12.2025

Harprit Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Jatinder Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for quashing the impugned note (Annexure P-9) added subsequently to the minutes of Departmental Promotion Committee's meeting dated 03.09.2021 (Annexure P-7) and consequential promotion order dated 17.09.2021 (Annexure P-10), whereby the petitioner has been declared ineligible for promotion to the post of Agriculture Officer. The petitioner is also seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondent department to grant him promotion to the post of Agriculture Officer from the date his juniors have been promoted along with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned State counsel, while referring to Annexure R-1 dated 16.09.2025 annexed with connected case i.e. CWP-5567-2022, submits that the petitioner has been promoted to the post of Agriculture

Officer and, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the persons junior to the petitioner were promoted earlier and the petitioner is entitled for promotion to the post of Agriculture Officer from the earlier date. He submits that for claiming the said relief, the petitioner shall submit a detailed representation and the same may be considered by the respondent authority in a time bound manner.

4. If any such representation is submitted by the petitioner within a period of one month from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the respondents within a period of three months thereafter. If the petitioner is found entitled to the retrospective promotion, the necessary benefits shall be released to him in accordance with law within a period of one month thereafter.

5. Disposed of in the above terms.

02.12.2025

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No