

**CWP-7298-2005 (O&M)****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****201****CWP-7298-2005 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 26.11.2025****Balraj Singh****...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 14.11.2003 whereby Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar has terminated his services.

2. The petitioner is claiming that he was terminated by an order which is stigmatic in nature. He was terminated without holding any inquiry. The termination was against the principles of natural justice.

3. As per reply, the petitioner was a habitual offender. He was implicated in FIR No.169 dated 23.12.1996 under Sections 386, 506, 342 & 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Division 'A', Amritsar. On checking by Station House Office, Police Station Sadar, Amritsar, petitioner was found having received money from a driver of one



CWP-7298-2005 (O&M)

-2-

Police Officer and subjected to suitability test in 1997, 2000, 2001 and 2002 for the post of Constable but was found unfit. In 2003, he was dismissed on account of misconduct. He was a temporary employee. His appointment was under Section 17 of Police Act, 1861 (for short '**Police Act**'). The respondent was not required to conduct departmental inquiry before passing order of termination.

4. The petitioner was terminated in 2003. A period of more than 22 years has passed away. He was a temporary employee and appointed under Section 17 of Police Act. He was granted opportunities by way of further test for absorption as Constable. He was implicated in a criminal case while on duty. In these circumstances, petition deserves to be dismissed.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was allotted Constabulary Number. He is trying to get information and may be granted liberty to file a fresh petition, if he succeeds in getting information confirming that he was allotted Constabulary Number prior to passing impugned order.

6. The petition stands dismissed with aforesaid liberty.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2025

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No