



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-20379-2025

Date of decision: 11.07.2025

SONU

....PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.122 dated 15.03.2019, registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC, 1860 (Section 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

2. On 11.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.122 dated 15.03.2019, under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (later on Section 201 of IPC added) registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner was arrested in a case registered under the NDPS Act in the year 2019 wherein the Motorcycle bearing registration No. PB13AX-9124 had also been recovered from him. He contends that now the instant FIR has been registered by making a reference that the motorcycle which was recovered from him was a stolen property. He contends that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner and moreover, the offence would not be made out since the petitioner is stated to have purchased a stolen property and did not carry out the theft himself. He contends that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when so required.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.



To come up on 11.07.2025, for further consideration. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of petitioner joining investigation, he shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by him to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sant Kumar, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 11.04.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 11.04.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 11, 2025
latin

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No