

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21219-2025
Date of Decision: 03.09.2025

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Ms. Gaganbir Kaur Kahlon, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. By virtue of the present petition under Section 482 BNSS, petitioner, who is co-accused in case bearing FIR No.157 dated 18.11.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190 BNS, 2023 (Section 118(2) BNS was added later on), at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. On 23.05.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“As per office report, respondent No.2 stands duly served, however, none has entered appearance on her behalf.

It has not been disputed by the learned State counsel, on instructions, that the injury attributed to the petitioner was opined to be simple in nature.

Adjourned to 03.09.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.”

3. Pursuant thereto, short reply in the shape of Mr. Joga Singh, PPS,

Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Dera Baba Nanak, Police District Batala, has been placed on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 23.05.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI, Balwinder Singh, submits that petitioner and other co-accused have joined the investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of the Court to the compromise (Annexure P-2/T) and submitted that the dispute has been amicably resolved between the parties.

7. Heard.

8. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 23.05.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

9. The petition stands allowed.

03.09.2025

Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No