



117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10802-2025

Date of Decision : 21-04-2025

RANI ARORA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

 Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
 For respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for compensation as the amount of the provident fund was illegally put in the saving account by respondent No.4 so as to avoid the grant of interest, which is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, the provident fund account was withdrawn from the petitioner; the same should also carry interest, which has been withheld so as to grant the benefit to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the

respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.5-EPF and submits that in case, the representation dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

21-04-2025

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO