

CRM-M-27256-2021

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

267

CRM-M-27256-2021

Date of decision: 12.11.2025

ASHOK GOYAL AND ANR

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Ms. Sakshi Goel, Advocate for  
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.


Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate and  
Mr. Dheeraj Singla, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.144 dated 23.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2.

2. Initially, the instant petition seeking quashing of the FIR was filed on merits. During the course of the proceedings, a settlement was arrived at between the parties as recorded vide order dated 21.08.2025.



CRM-M-27256-2021

2

3. Vide the said order, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

5. Pursuant to the order dated 21.08.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ratia and as per the report dated 08.09.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

6. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

7. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ratia accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.144 dated 23.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under



CRM-M-27256-2021

Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

12.11.2025

Kusum

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |