



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

CWP-11263-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2025

Yadwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. A.A. George, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the charge-sheet dated 09.02.2018, enquiry report dated 04.01.2019, punishment order dated 29.03.2019, whereby punishment of dismissal has been imposed.
2. Learned Senior counsel submits that there was a specific issue that was raised during the enquiry as well as in the representation, which is in the shape of appeal to the effect that neither the statement of witnesses were given nor the opportunity to cross-examine them granted, for which, reference is also made to the reply to the RTI application dated 18.06.2020, Annexure P-14, regarding the same apparently there is not even a whisper in the order, that was passed by the Appellate Authority, which gives no reasons.
3. This Court in **Ashok Kumar vs. State of Haryana and Others**¹ had observed that a single line non-speaking order rejecting the claim of the petitioner for ex-gratia appointment, passed without considering the facts and circumstances of the case, was violative of the principles of Natural Justice.

¹ 2002 SCC OnLine P&H 1450



note of the representation/appeal filed by the petitioner and pass a reasoned order within a period of four months, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

7. Disposed of accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.01.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No