



**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4261-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 12.05.2025

AMARJET SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of Harwant Kaur, Superintendent of Police, Head Quarter, District Patiala-respondent No. 4 and Gurjet Singh-respondent No. 5.

1.1 A prayer has also been made that the petitioners have moved representations dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-7 and P-9) to the Director General of Police, Punjab Sector-9, Chandigarh (respondent No. 2) and the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala (respondent No. 3), respectively, but no action has been taken by the official respondents No. 2 and 3.

2. It is contended that an FIR No. 0036, dated 05.04.2023, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC read with Section 120-B thereof and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 (Annexure P-6) has been registered at Police Station Sadar, District Patiala, against the son



of petitioners No. 1 and 2 and the husband of petitioner No. 3, namely, Shamsher Singh. The petitioners have no role in the said FIR. Neither they are named in the FIR nor are required in the said FIR but they are repeatedly called by the official respondents.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 3-State and upon instructions from Sub-Inspector Baljinder Singh, Police Station Sadar District Patiala, submits that upon an inquiry, it is found that the petitioners have no role in the said FIR (Annexure P-6).

5. Mr. Parminder Pal Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 5 and has filed his '*Vakalatnama*' in Court today. The same is taken on record.

6. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn.

MAY 12, 2025
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No