



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 10747 of 2025

Date of Decision : 21.04.2025

Gian Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Patiala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-8) has been passed by the Executive Engineer, P & M Division, PSTCL, Ropar, the petitioner intends to approach the Chief Managing Director of the respondent-Corporation by filing representation and the said authority be directed to decide the said issue so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

2. Keeping in view the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-8) before the Chief Managing Director of the respondent-Corporation, the said authority is directed to decide the same within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

3. Present petition is disposed of.

April 21, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No