

2025:PHHC:152617



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

335

Date of decision: 03.11.2025

(i) CRM-M-21498-2025 (O&M)

Rajiv Kumar @ Kaka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

(ii) CRM-M-21528-2025 (O&M)

Dharminder @ tirath Ram fouji and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Nitin Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-21498-2025)
for respondents No.2 & 3 (in CRM-M-21528-2025).

Mr. Harpreet Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-21528-2025),
for respondents No.2 & 3 (in CRM-M-21498-2025).

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. It is a case of version and cross-version. Petitioners in CRM-M-21498-2025 are seeking quashing of DDR No.27, dated 18.02.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023 in case FIR No. 33, dated 15.02.2025, under Sections 298 (deleted later on), 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at P.S. City Nawanshahar, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom,

2025:PHHC:152617



whereas petitioners in CRM-M-21528- 2025 are seeking quashing of FIR No. 33, dated 15.02.2025, under Sections 298 (deleted later on), 115(2), 118 (1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at P.S. City Nawanshahar, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 26.03.2025, arrived at between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 10.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the DDR and FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners are quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description
(i)	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute DDRone
(ii)	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute FIRSeven

2025:PHHC:152617



2	Number of complainant/victim(s)	2 in DDR and 2 in FIR
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	No

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent(s) is the only aggrieved person in the DDR and the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Panipat, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid DDR, FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of them, are quashed.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms

2025:PHHC:152617



of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

03.11.2025

Satyawan

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No