

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9504-2025
Date of decision: 12.05.2025

GURDIT SINGH ALIAS DEEPPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Ashu Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Khinda, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS,
2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
69	02.11.2024	117 (2), 191 (3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Sections 118 (1), 109 of BNS, 2023 added later on.)	Begowal, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 25.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 25.03.2025.
3. Learned State counsel intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-9504-2025

2

4. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and prays for dismissal of the present petition.

5. During the course of hearing on 25.03.2025, following order was passed:

“2. *Heard.*

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and in fact no injury has been attributed to him in the alleged occurrence.*

4. *Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Malkeet Singh, has not disputed the fact that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner but claims that the petitioner had been driving the motorcycle. It is stated that Charan Singh, father of the petitioner, to whom the injury of datar has been attributed, has already been arrested.*

5. *Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to know about the unknown person.*

6. *After considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

7. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.*

8. *List on 23.04.2025.”*



CRM-M-9504-2025

3

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 25.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 25.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
7. The petition stands allowed.
8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12.05.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No