

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20091-2025

Date of Decision:08.07.2025

Lakshay Panchal

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.172, dated 26.03.2025, under Section 316(2),318(4) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, Karnal District Karnal (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.04.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute between the parties is civil in nature and the complainant has illegally converted a civil dispute into a criminal offence. He further contends that a civil suit No.613/2025 titled as “Lakshay Panchal Vs. Jeevan and others” has already been filed by the petitioner, seeking relief of decree of declaration with consequential relief of

permanent injunction (Annexure P-8) and the notice has already been issued to respondent No.2/complainant.

Without prejudice to his rights to raise his defence before the Trial Court and without admitting his guilt, learned counsel for the petitioner has voluntarily offered to deposit a sum of Rs.3.5 lacs with the Trial Court/Area Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today, just to show his bona fides. ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2/complainant submits that he has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed by this Court as the parties have compromised the matter with each other.

6. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 09.04.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

7. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

08.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No