


2025:PHHC:049075



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. NO.122

CRWP-3646-2025

DATE OF DECISION:09.04.2025

MUSKAN @ ROSHNI AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Ms. Madhu Bala, Advocate
for the petitioners

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also to direct respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-6) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and the petitioners shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation (Annexure P-6).

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, UT Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh is directed to depute a senior police officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-6) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

7. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

8. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

09.04.2025
hitesh/mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO