



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRWP-3660-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2025

MANJEET KAUR AND ANOTHERPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and take action on their representation dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner no.1 is 18 years 09 months old and petitioner no.2 is about 20 years old. There is no blood relation between them. They have not yet been married as they have planned to get married after petitioner no.2 will attain the age of majority. Parents of petitioner no.1 are against this relationship as they want to marry petitioner no.1 with some other boy of their own choice. She has requested many times to them but they are adamant to accept this relationship. Due to which petitioner no.1 is living in live-in-relationship with petitioner no.2 with her free own will. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 03.04.2025 to the respondent



No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners’ counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to him.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Sirsa to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) within a period of 15 days in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.
- 6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.
- 7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

09.04.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |