

356

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20131-2025
Date of decision: 08.05.2025

DEVINDER ALIAS MONU AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Ishkaran Singh Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 01.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) as well as affidavit of respondent No.2/complainant executed between the parties.

Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
149	20.04.2024	506 of IPC and 3(1) (s) and 3(1) (t) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.	Rajendra Park, District Gurugram.



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 01.05.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram vide report dated 30.04.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.20,000/- in compliance to the order dated 21.04.2025.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.149 dated 20.04.2024, under Sections 506 of IPC and 3(1) (s) and 3(1) (t) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of

Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Rajendra Park, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

08.05.2025

Priyanka Thakur

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No