

1

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12455-2020

Date of Decision : July 28, 2025

.. Petitioner

Versus

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Additional Standing Counsel, with
Mr. Ashok Kanwal, Jr. Standing Counsel, for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for quashing of the order dated 08.06.2020 (Annexure P-5) and for directing the respondents to release the amount of gratuity and pension along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the respondents have not released the gratuity and pension of the petitioner on the ground that while in service, the petitioner was occupying two accommodations simultaneously which was inadmissible and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was made liable to pay penal rent for the said misconduct, which order imposing penal rent has never been challenged hence, the claim that the gratuity should be released in total without the adjustment of recoverable penal rent, is liable to be rejected.



CWP-12455-2020 2

3. On the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

July 28, 2025

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No